FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.507 OF 2005.

=-=-=-

rehabilitation of prisoners have taken up the case of petitioner for rehabilitation after his release from Jail but in the meantime, he came to be detained under the MPDA Act. We expect the respondent/State and its officials while exercising their powers under the MPDA Act to be sensitive to such issue and this fact should have been taken into consideration by the detening Authority, which in this case is respondent no.3-Commissioner of Police, Amravati. We expect that the detening Authority in future would also examine the cases placed before it for passing orders of detention with a view point as to whether the detenu can be rehabilitated rather than passing an order of detention on the basis of certain material placed before it.

5. With these observations, we dismiss the petition as infructuous.

6. Rule is discharged.

JUDGE JUDGE

pzc